

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231 HOLL

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
			<del></del>	
09/136,34	2 08/19/98	FORREST	5	10020/11901
			EXAMINER	
026646		IM22/0723		•
KENYON & KENYON			ARY ÚNIÝ	TTZKYPAPER NUMBER
ONE BROADWAY				
NEW YORK	NY 10004	<u> </u>	1774	
		C	DATE MAÎLED:	
				07/23/01
Belov	v is a communication from	m the EXAMINER in charge of this applica	tion	

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application				
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS				
ADVISORY ACTION				
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:				
a) is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection				
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.				
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.				
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).  With certificate of mailing dated 07/10/01,				
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 07/12/01 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:				
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:				
<ul> <li>a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.</li> </ul>				
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).				
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).				
d. X They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.				
e. 💢 They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: Proposed amended claim 29 contains limitation not present in finally rejected claims - requires further Consideration at least under 15 USC 103. New blaims 64-84 also require further Consideration as they are all in addition to finally rejected claims and previously allowed claims.  2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed it submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.				
3. Doon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:				
Claims allowed: 31, 35 and 57-63  Claims objected to: None  Claims rejected: 29, 36, 32-34 and 54-56 for reasons of record.  However;  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):				
4. \( \) The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because				
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented. Will not be extered upon filing an appeal.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.  Maie K, Janusitzky				
☐ Other MARIE YAMNITZKY PRIMARY EXAMINER				
1774				
PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)				